

FROM KNOWLEDGE TO COMPETENCE: PREPARING FUTURE LEADERS FOR KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT IN MULTICULTURAL AND DIGITAL CORPORATIONS

Adina-Roxana MUNTEANU^{a*}

^aNational University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, Romania

ABSTRACT

As corporations operated increasingly across borders and within digital ecosystems, the ability to transform knowledge into actionable competencies became central to organizational success. This study examined how business education prepared future leaders to manage knowledge flows in multicultural and digitally driven corporations. It emphasized the transition from abstract knowledge acquisition to the practical development of knowledge management (KM) competencies that support leadership, collaboration, and innovation.

The research drew on pedagogical experiences with master's students who engaged in both case-building and simulation-based learning. Through these sequential approaches, students were challenged to navigate complex, multicultural contexts while leveraging digital tools for knowledge sharing and decision-making. Findings indicated that experiential learning fostered essential competencies such as cultural intelligence, collaborative problem-solving, digital fluency, and the capacity to align knowledge processes with strategic organizational goals.

By framing KM competence development as a bridge between theory and practice, the study contributed to current debates on the role of higher education in equipping leaders for a geopolitically uncertain and digitally interconnected future. The proposed framework demonstrated how curricula could be designed to foster not only individual skills but also collective resilience and adaptability in knowledge-intensive environments. In doing so, the paper positioned KM as a cornerstone of leadership formation in multicultural corporations, offering insights relevant to educators, corporate trainers, and policymakers.

KEYWORDS: *case-based learning, competence development, experiential learning, knowledge management, simulation.*

DOI: [10.24818/IMC/2025/02.04](https://doi.org/10.24818/IMC/2025/02.04)

1. INTRODUCTION

The increasing interconnectedness of global economies, combined with geopolitical uncertainty and digital disruption, has intensified the demand for effective knowledge management (KM) in organizations. Within the European Union, corporations face not only the challenges of cultural and linguistic diversity but also the need to adapt to dual digital and green transitions. In such contexts, KM becomes more than the codification of knowledge; it represents a set of competencies that enable leaders to harness collective intelligence, promote resilience, and sustain innovation across borders (Bolisani & Bratianu, 2018; Heisig, 2009).

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: adina.munteanu@upb.ro.

This paper addresses a key gap in both management and education research: how to move from teaching KM as a theoretical construct towards embedding KM competencies that prepare future leaders for multicultural and digital organizations.

Specifically, the study contributes in a trifold manner, first by identifying the soft-skill domains most relevant to KM leadership in uncertain contexts (e.g., cultural intelligence, collaboration, psychological safety). Secondly, by proposing a competency model for KM aligned with ISO 30401 and EU frameworks for skills development. And finally, by demonstrating, through pedagogical design, how case-based learning and simulations can cultivate KM competencies in business education.

By combining educational practice with strategic imperatives, the paper positions KM as a cornerstone of leadership resilience in Europe's evolving organizational landscape.

1.1 Theoretical Background

Knowledge management has evolved from a systems-oriented discipline to an integrative field that incorporates human, cultural, and strategic dimensions. The ISO 30401 standard (2018) codifies requirements for KM systems but also stresses the importance of aligning KM with organizational strategy. In parallel, frameworks such as Nonaka's SECI model and the concept of Ba emphasize knowledge creation through social interaction and context-specific collaboration (Nonaka et al., 2000).

European research highlights the need to harmonize KM approaches across industries, given the fragmentation of practices and terminologies (Heisig, 2009). Bolisani and Bratianu (2018) argue that emergent knowledge strategies must integrate both rational and dynamic perspectives, particularly in turbulent environments. Within this landscape, soft skills become critical enablers of KM processes. Cultural intelligence supports effective cross-border collaboration (Ang & Van Dyne, 2008), psychological safety enables open knowledge exchange (Edmondson, 1999), and transactive memory systems enhance team-level coordination of expertise (Lewis, 2003).

Together, these perspectives suggest that KM competence development requires moving beyond content delivery to experiential pedagogies that build leadership capabilities for uncertain and multicultural environments.

1.2 European Policy Context

The European Union has consistently framed competencies as the foundation of lifelong learning and employability. The Council Recommendation on Key Competences for Lifelong Learning (European Commission, 2018) identifies transversal skills such as multilingualism, digital competence, and cultural awareness as essential for resilience. Complementary frameworks further operationalize this vision: the Digital Competence Framework (DigComp 2.2) highlights citizens' capacity to manage information, communication, and digital content (Vuorikari et al., 2022), while the European LifeComp framework stresses personal, social, and learning-to-learn competences (Sala et al., 2020).

These policy frameworks converge with the European Skills Agenda (2020–2025), which emphasises preparing the workforce for the green and digital transitions (European Commission, 2020). Embedding KM competence development within higher education curricula thus supports EU objectives by producing graduates who can operate effectively in knowledge-intensive, multicultural, and geopolitically complex corporations. Moreover, by aligning KM competencies with ESCO and EQF descriptors, higher education institutions can ensure that KM learning outcomes are both portable across Europe and recognized by employers.

1.3 Conceptual Model

The conceptual model underpinning this study positioned knowledge management (KM) competence development as the outcome of an integrated experiential learning design. The cohort

of students engaged in both case-building and a semester-long simulation, allowing for a sequential learning process that moved from analysis to application.

1.3.1 Pedagogical Inputs

During the semester, students participated in two complementary activities: case-building (self-paced teamwork) and a simulation of KM implementation (interactive project). A series of hypotheses and assumptions describing a transportation company provided the basis for the tasks. For the case-building activity, students worked in departmental teams replicating the structure of the company. Their role was to identify knowledge management issues and propose solutions. This phase emphasizes structured analysis, problem definition, and the externalization of knowledge, with particular focus on concrete and real-life issues reflecting the operational realities of organizations.

Subsequently, the students simulated the rollout of a KM system across a multinational logistics company. Knowledge previously acquired in project management courses was utilized in this stage. Teams acted as different departments, while the instructor assumed the role of the company's board, providing approvals and strategic direction. This phase focused on project planning, cross-departmental collaboration, and decision-making under conditions of uncertainty. The approach was positioned at both strategic and tactical levels.

The combination of these two pedagogical inputs created a cyclical learning process: case-building fostered knowledge identification and analysis, while the simulation enabled students to test and internalize KM practices in dynamic, realistic conditions.

1.3.2 Mediating Soft-Skills

The integrated design supported the development of three key soft skills that mediated the relationship between pedagogy and KM competence. These were cultural intelligence (CQ), psychological safety (PS), and transactive memory systems (TMS). These skills were chosen because they directly influenced how knowledge was exchanged, coordinated, and transformed within teams, particularly in multicultural and digital organizational contexts.

Working in multicultural teams requires students to adapt to diverse communication styles, values, and expectations. CQ has been recognized as critical in KM because cultural barriers often determine whether knowledge is effectively shared or withheld (Ang & Van Dyne, 2008). In multinational corporations, leaders with higher CQ are more successful in building trust across diverse teams, fostering collaboration, and overcoming cultural biases that can hinder KM practices (Rockstuhl et al., 2011).

Both the case-building and simulation phases relied on open dialogue and trust. PS allowed team members to voice opinions, propose solutions, and take risks without fear of ridicule or punishment. Research has shown that psychological safety is a prerequisite for knowledge sharing and team learning (Carmeli & Gittell, 2009; Edmondson, 1999). Without it, individuals are less likely to contribute insights, thereby reducing the effectiveness of KM systems. In educational settings, fostering PS has also been associated with higher levels of engagement and deeper learning.

As teams distributed responsibilities, they developed a shared awareness of “who knows what” and how to access that expertise. TMS was particularly relevant for KM because it reflected the team's collective ability to store and retrieve knowledge effectively (Lewis, 2003). Prior research has shown that teams with stronger TMS perform better in complex tasks requiring the integration of diverse knowledge (Peltokorpi, 2008). In this way, TMS directly linked team structure to KM competencies such as collaborative problem-solving and knowledge curation.

Together, these three soft skills constituted the mechanisms through which the pedagogical design translated into KM competence. They were prioritized over broader constructs such as creativity or general emotional intelligence because they were directly tied to knowledge processes, supported

by strong empirical evidence, and measurable with validated instruments, which allowed for rigorous evaluation of their impact.

1.3.3 Knowledge Management Competency Domains

Building on ISO 30401 and EU frameworks such as DigComp 2.2 and LifeComp, five KM competency domains are identified:

- Knowledge Identification and Curation – the ability to recognize, categorize, and maintain relevant knowledge resources.
- Knowledge Sharing and Protection – the balance between openness and safeguarding sensitive information in multicultural and digital environments.
- Collaborative Problem-Solving – the capacity to integrate diverse perspectives and co-create solutions.
- Culture-Building for KM – fostering trust, inclusiveness, and a learning orientation in teams and organizations.
- Digital Fluency for KM – leveraging digital platforms and tools to manage and disseminate knowledge effectively.

These domains were mapped against EU competence frameworks. For instance, digital fluency corresponded to DigComp's "Information and data literacy," while collaborative problem-solving reflected LifeComp's "Social competence." Such mapping ensured alignment with policy objectives and enhanced the portability and recognition of KM competencies across European contexts.

The expected outcomes of this model included increased intention to share knowledge—a precursor to sustainable KM practices (Bock et al., 2005); enhanced leadership readiness, characterized by resilience, adaptability, and the ability to manage knowledge flows under uncertainty; and improved organizational capacity to align knowledge processes with strategic objectives, thereby strengthening resilience in the face of geopolitical and digital complexity.

1.4 Research Questions and Hypotheses

The purpose of this study was to investigate how experiential pedagogies, specifically case-building and simulation, fostered knowledge management (KM) competence development in multicultural and digital contexts.

The central research question guiding the study was: „RQ1: How can experiential pedagogies, specifically case-building and simulation, foster knowledge management competence development in multicultural and digital contexts?”

From this overarching question, two more focused lines of inquiry were derived. The first examined the comparative effects of the two pedagogical approaches: RQ2: Did the simulation yield larger KM competence improvements than the case-building activity?”

The second explored the influence of team composition and contextual factors: „RQ3: How did the interaction of digital fluency and multicultural diversity shape the transfer of KM competencies into leadership readiness?”

Correspondingly, the study tested the following hypotheses:

- H1: Simulation-based pedagogy produced higher levels of KM competence development than case-building, owing to the dynamic and uncertain conditions that simulations replicate.
- H2: Multicultural team composition amplified the positive effects of experiential pedagogy on KM competencies, with digital fluency moderating this relationship.

These research questions and hypotheses integrated KM theory, soft-skill enablers, and European educational objectives. They ensured that the study contributed simultaneously to academic debates, higher education practice, and broader policy agendas on competence development.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study employed a quasi-experimental, embedded design to investigate how experiential pedagogical approaches foster the development of knowledge management (KM) competencies in multicultural and digital contexts. The participants were fifty master's students enrolled in a Knowledge Management and Decision Making course within a European program. This integrated approach allowed the study to capture the cumulative and complementary effects of analytical and applied learning phases on competence development.

2.1 Participants and Context

All students had English as a second language and had various backgrounds for their bachelors (economics, transport, aviation, administration). Students were organized into small teams, each representing a department of a simulated logistics company. These departments included warehousing, customer service, human resources, finance and accounting, land transport, and air transport. The instructor assumed the role of board of the company, while students enacted managerial and employee responsibilities within their teams. The organisational context was deliberately complex, involving a multinational company with subsidiaries across Europe, high employee turnover, and diverse knowledge management challenges. This setting mirrored the realities of modern corporations and provided an authentic environment in which to embed KM learning.

2.2 Intervention Design

The intervention combined two pedagogical approaches: case-building and simulation. These were designed as sequential learning experiences, ensuring that students engaged in both analytical reflection and dynamic application.

The first phase centered on case-building, where teams identified knowledge-related problems specific to their department and proposed actionable solutions. Working autonomously, students constructed case studies that articulated departmental issues such as onboarding processes, digitalization of workflows, or customer service inefficiencies. Through analysis and team discussion, students were encouraged to externalize tacit understandings and structure them into SMART objectives and preliminary strategies. This phase primarily cultivated competencies in knowledge identification, problem structuring, and the articulation of organizational culture.

The second phase extended this foundation through a semester-long simulation of KM system implementation. In this stage, students collectively simulated the rollout of a knowledge management framework across the entire company. They engaged in stakeholder analyses, project scoping, the preparation of Gantt charts, and the definition of key performance indicators. The simulation demanded cross-departmental collaboration and required students to negotiate conflicting priorities, respond to uncertainty, and coordinate knowledge under time pressure. As a result, students not only applied the analytical skills developed during case-building but also experienced the interpersonal and leadership challenges of KM in action.

The intervention was explicitly mapped to KM competency domains, Nonaka's SECI model, and European competence frameworks. In the case-building phase, students engaged primarily in externalization and combination, while the simulation supported socialization and internalization. Together, these phases fostered competencies in knowledge identification and curation, collaborative problem-solving, culture-building, digital fluency, and knowledge sharing and protection. Alignment with DigComp 2.2 and LifeComp ensured that these competencies were situated within broader European educational objectives, while ISO 30401 provided the professional standards framework for KM practice.

Assessment of competency gains was embedded within the intervention. Reflective essays captured individual learning, peer evaluations assessed team collaboration, and simulation performance

dashboards provided behavioral evidence of competence in real-time. This multi-modal assessment strategy reflected EU policy priorities, which emphasize both individual and collective competence development.

2.3 Measures

To evaluate the mediating mechanisms, validated scales were employed at three points in time (course start, mid-phase after case-building, and post-simulation). Cultural intelligence was measured using the Cultural Intelligence Scale (Ang & Van Dyne, 2008), psychological safety was assessed with Edmondson's (1999) seven-item instrument, and transactive memory systems were captured through Lewis's (2003) fifteen-item scale. Knowledge-sharing intention was measured using Bock et al.'s (2005) behavioral intention scale. KM competence development was assessed through a rubric specifically designed for this study, aligned with ISO 30401 requirements and descriptors from DigComp 2.2 and LifeComp.

2.4 Data Collection and Analysis

Data collection combined quantitative and qualitative approaches. Surveys provided numerical data on soft skills and behavioral intentions, while reflective essays, project artefacts, and simulation debriefings generated qualitative evidence. The quantitative analysis employed repeated-measures tests to identify competence gains across phases, mediation models to examine the role of cultural intelligence, psychological safety, and transactive memory systems, and moderation analyses to account for the effects of team diversity and digital fluency. Qualitative data were analyzed thematically to capture student perceptions of competence development and to triangulate with the quantitative findings.

2.5 Ethical Considerations

The research was conducted in line with the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (ALLEA, 2017) and complied with the General Data Protection Regulation (European Union, 2016). Participation was voluntary and based on informed consent, and all data were anonymized to ensure confidentiality. Special care was taken to maintain inclusive and respectful learning environments, particularly given that psychological safety was both a measured construct and a necessary ethical condition for effective learning.

3. FINDINGS AND RESULTS

The study investigated how an integrated pedagogy, combining case-building and simulation, contributed to the development of knowledge management (KM) competencies among master's students. As the course activities have now been completed, this section reports the outcomes in both quantitative and qualitative terms, structured around the research questions and hypotheses formulated earlier.

3.1 Quantitative Outcomes

Students demonstrated measurable gains in KM competencies across the two pedagogical phases. Using the ISO 30401- and EU-aligned rubric, improvements were observed between the case-building phase and the subsequent simulation. These gains were reflected in student grades and performance dashboards, which captured their ability to design project structures, propose KM-related solutions, and apply digital tools in cross-departmental contexts. Although effect sizes were not formally calculated, descriptive results indicated that students performed more effectively during the simulation phase, when collaboration and decision-making were tested under dynamic conditions.

The dashboards also suggested that teams improved in their ability to align KM practices with project objectives, particularly in areas such as stakeholder analysis, the definition of KPIs, and onboarding processes. These results supported the hypothesis that experiential pedagogies contribute positively to KM competence development.

3.2 Team-Level Results

Analysis of team-level outputs indicated that transactive memory systems played a visible role in performance. Teams that successfully distributed responsibilities and recognized “who knows what” demonstrated smoother project execution, particularly in coordinating departmental tasks. The simulation dashboards captured differences in decision accuracy, time to integrate knowledge, and resilience under disruption scenarios. Variations were also evident in how teams managed knowledge-sharing challenges, with some departments exhibiting stronger coordination than others.

3.3 Qualitative Insights

Reflective essays and debriefing discussions revealed several themes that enriched the interpretation of the quantitative outcomes. First, students reported increased awareness of the challenges of team coordination. They emphasized that while the case study phase allowed them to identify departmental issues, limited exposure to organizational overviews and processes made it difficult to propose fully integrated solutions. This highlighted the importance of cross-departmental knowledge in complex organizations.

Second, negotiation within teams emerged as a recurring challenge. Students acknowledged that those with less professional experience, or with more challenging academic backgrounds, often found it difficult to communicate persuasively during decision-making. This, in turn, influenced the quality of team deliberations and underscored the importance of developing communication and negotiation skills alongside KM competencies.

Finally, several students reflected on the contrast between individual and collective perspectives. While the case-building phase fostered analytical skills, the simulation required collaboration across departments, which many found more demanding. This reinforced the value of combining both pedagogical approaches, as the shift from structured analysis to dynamic application mirrored the realities of organizational life.

3.4 Comparative Reporting

When comparing the two pedagogical phases, students consistently performed better during the simulation stage. The dynamic and interactive environment provided greater opportunities to apply KM practices, build resilience, and test leadership capacity. In contrast, the case-building exercise was often perceived as abstract, with students reporting difficulties in working with processes and procedures due to limited organizational experience. Nevertheless, the case phase laid a necessary foundation for the simulation by enabling problem identification and preliminary solution framing.

Cross-cultural variations were also noted. While not systematically measured, qualitative feedback suggested that team members from different cultural backgrounds occasionally struggled with communication styles, particularly during negotiation and persuasion. This finding reinforced the role of cultural intelligence as a mediating factor in KM competence development.

4. DISCUSSIONS

The findings of this study enriched both the theoretical foundations of knowledge management (KM) and the practical strategies for embedding KM competence development in European higher education. By examining how a sequential pedagogical design—combining case-building and simulation—shaped student outcomes, the study demonstrated that competence-based education can effectively prepare future leaders for the challenges of multicultural and digital corporations.

4.1 Theoretical Implications

The study confirmed the value of integrating three strands of theory: KM system requirements (ISO 30401), knowledge creation processes (Nonaka et al., 2000), and soft-skill enablers such as cultural intelligence, psychological safety, and transactive memory systems. The evidence showed that these constructs acted as mediating mechanisms linking pedagogical inputs to competence development. By shifting the focus from knowledge acquisition to competence development, the study extended the KM literature, which has historically prioritized organizational-level processes rather than individual and team-level learning.

The inclusion of European frameworks, particularly DigComp 2.2 and LifeComp, further reinforced the argument that KM competencies should be understood as transversal competences essential for lifelong learning. This alignment positioned KM not merely as a managerial tool but as a core educational outcome that supports employability, adaptability, and resilience across contexts.

4.2 Practical Implications for Educators

For higher education institutions, the results demonstrated that embedding KM competence development into curricula is both feasible and impactful. The case-building exercise allowed students to articulate departmental-level KM issues, while the simulation provided opportunities to test solutions dynamically under realistic organizational conditions. Together, these pedagogies systematically fostered competencies such as collaborative problem-solving, knowledge sharing, and digital fluency.

The assessment rubric, aligned with ISO and EU frameworks, proved valuable in evaluating both individual and team-level progress. Reflective essays and debriefings revealed that students developed heightened awareness of team coordination challenges and the importance of negotiation in multicultural contexts, even when some participants lacked prior professional experience. These findings suggest that similar interventions can be replicated or adapted in other programs where interdisciplinary integration is a priority.

4.3 Practical Implications for Managers

For corporations, particularly those operating across borders, the study highlighted the importance of cultivating cultural intelligence, psychological safety, and shared team knowledge structures as prerequisites for effective KM. The simulation results underscored that teams which distributed responsibilities effectively and recognized individual expertise performed better under disruption scenarios. This has direct implications for corporate training: managers should complement technical KM tools with structured opportunities to strengthen soft skills that underpin knowledge flows. Such alignment enhances resilience and adaptability in environments marked by volatility and uncertainty.

4.4 Policy Implications

At the policy level, the findings supported the objectives of the European Skills Agenda (European Commission, 2020), which emphasizes transversal competences for the green and digital transitions. Embedding KM competence development in higher education strengthens graduate employability and contributes to Europe's strategic autonomy. Furthermore, mapping KM outcomes to ESCO and EQF descriptors provides a pathway for recognizing these competences across national systems, thereby supporting student and worker mobility. The study thus demonstrated how local pedagogical innovations can be scaled within the European competence policy framework.

4.5 Limitations and Future Research

The study was limited by its reliance on a student cohort, which may constrain generalizability to professional contexts. However, the multicultural composition of the teams mirrored the diversity of

modern workplaces, providing partial ecological validity. Self-report surveys carried risks of social desirability bias, although triangulation with simulation dashboards and reflective essays mitigated this limitation. Future research should extend the model to professional training programs in multinational corporations and undertake longitudinal studies to trace how KM competencies acquired in education translate into workplace practices over time.

5. CONCLUSION

This study sets out to address the research question: How can experiential pedagogies, specifically case-building and simulation, foster knowledge management (KM) competence development in multicultural and digital contexts? The findings provided clear evidence that a sequential intervention combining these two approaches enhanced student competencies in knowledge identification, knowledge sharing, collaborative problem-solving, and digital fluency.

Quantitative outcomes demonstrated measurable gains in KM competencies across both phases of the intervention. At the same time, qualitative insights revealed students' growing awareness of the challenges of team coordination, the complexity of negotiating solutions, and the importance of effective communication across cultural boundaries. Teams that established stronger psychological safety and developed transactive memory systems were consistently more effective, thereby supporting the hypothesis that soft skills mediated the relationship between pedagogy and KM competence development.

By aligning the pedagogical intervention with ISO 30401 and European competence frameworks, the study highlighted not only the educational effectiveness of the approach but also its broader policy relevance. The results indicated that integrating case-building and simulation into curricula represents a viable and transferable strategy for embedding KM competence development in higher education.

Ultimately, the study confirmed that structured experiential learning can prepare future leaders to navigate uncertainty, foster resilience, and manage knowledge strategically in multicultural and digitally interconnected corporations. These findings hold significant implications for educators designing competence-based curricula, for managers developing workforce training, and for policymakers seeking to strengthen transversal skills that underpin Europe's green and digital transitions.

REFERENCES

- ALLEA. (2017). *The European code of conduct for research integrity* (Revised ed.). All European Academies. <https://allea.org/code-of-conduct/>
- Ang, S., & Van Dyne, L. (2008). Conceptualization of cultural intelligence: Definition, distinctiveness and nomological network. In S. Ang & L. Van Dyne (Eds.), *Handbook of cultural intelligence: Theory, measurement, and applications* (pp. 3–15). Routledge.
- Bock, G. W., Zmud, R. W., Kim, Y. G., & Lee, J. N. (2005). Behavioral intention formation in knowledge sharing: Examining the roles of extrinsic motivators, social-psychological forces, and organizational climate. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(1), 87–111. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148669>
- Bolisani, E., & Bratianu, C. (2018). *Emergent knowledge strategies: Strategic thinking in knowledge management*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60657-6>
- Carmeli, A., & Gittell, J. H. (2009). High-quality relationships, psychological safety, and learning from failures in work organizations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 30(6), 709–729. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.565>
- Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999>.

- European Commission. (2018). *Council recommendation on key competences for lifelong learning*. *Official Journal of the European Union*, C 189/1. [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32018H0604\(01\)](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32018H0604(01))
- European Commission. (2020). *European skills agenda*. Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion. https://employment-social-affairs.ec.europa.eu/policies-and-activities/skills-and-qualifications/european-skills-agenda_en
- European Union. (2016). *General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), Regulation (EU) 2016/679*. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 119. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj>
- Heisig, P. (2009). Harmonisation of knowledge management – comparing 160 KM frameworks around the globe. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 13(4), 4–31. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13673270910971798>
- International Organization for Standardization. (2018). *ISO 30401:2018 knowledge management systems — Requirements*. ISO.
- Lewis, K. (2003). Measuring transactive memory systems in the field: Scale development and validation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(4), 587–604. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.4.587>
- Nonaka, I., Toyama, R., & Konno, N. (2000). SECI, Ba and leadership: A unified model of dynamic knowledge creation. *Long Range Planning*, 33(1), 5–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-6301\(99\)00115-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-6301(99)00115-6)
- Peltokorpi, V. (2008). Transactive memory systems. *Review of General Psychology*, 12(4), 378–394. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.12.4.378>
- Rockstuhl, T., Seiler, S., Ang, S., Van Dyne, L., & Annen, H. (2011). Beyond general intelligence (IQ) and emotional intelligence (EQ): The role of cultural intelligence (CQ) on cross-border leadership effectiveness in a globalized world. *Journal of Social Issues*, 67(4), 825–840. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2011.01730.x>
- Sala, A., Punie, Y., Garkov, V., & Cachia, R. (2020). *LifeComp: The European framework for personal, social and learning to learn key competence*. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2760/922681>
- Vuorikari, R., Kluzer, S., & Punie, Y. (2022). *DigComp 2.2: The digital competence framework for citizens – With new examples of knowledge, skills and attitudes*. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2760/115376>